

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/05/2003	Achai Bonneh	6111/CIP	5201
590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
BREINER & BREINER, L.L.C. P.O. BOX 19290 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-0290		TENTONI, LEO B	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1, 171 22320-0230		1732	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	08/05/2003 590 03/07/2006 BREINER, L.L.C.	08/05/2003 Achai Bonneh 590 03/07/2006 BREINER, L.L.C.	08/05/2003 Achai Bonneh 6111/CIP 590 03/07/2006 EXAMI BREINER, L.L.C. TENTONI 00 A, VA 22320-0290 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			120		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/633,493	BONNEH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	is		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	. Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	nnuary 2006.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	_					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 9-17 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stag	ìe		
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, ,,				
S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152))		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The objection to the disclosure set forth in the previous
Office Action (mailed on 13 October 2005) has been overcome and
is withdrawn.

2. The new title has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In independent claims 9 and 10, the last two lines of each of these claims, the newly-added limitation of "and subjected to said winding in the absence of prebonding of the filaments" is not supported by the originally-filed specification. Page 3, lines 12-22 of the originally-filed specification disclose that the spunlaid material can be wound,

Art Unit: 1732

but does not positively disclose that the spunlaid material is wound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Putnam et al (Putnam I; U.S. patent 6,903,034

Art Unit: 1732

B1) in combination with Putnam et al (Putnam II; U.S. Patent 6,321,425 B1) for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed on 13 January 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant argues (pages 7-10) that the cited prior art does not disclose or teach winding and unwinding of at least one layer of polymer filaments prior to being subjected to hydroentanglement in the absence of at least the one layer being prebonded, and winding of at least one layer in the absence of prebonding at a tension of less than about 40 N/m. Examiner responds that Putnam I (col. 11, lines 35-38; col. 12, lines 38-44; col. 20, lines 47-67) teaches winding, storing and then unwinding a web of material, and Putnam I and Putnam II teach light thermal bonding, also referred to as cold calendaring, in which at least one layer is provided in the absence of prebonding. With respect to the winding tension, one of ordinary skill in the art would use the appropriate winding tension so as not to damage the web, and the winding tension depends on, for example, the material used for the filaments of the web.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS

Art Unit: 1732

ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 1732

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

lbt